ORIGINAL



1

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION

2

3

4

5

COMMISSIONERS

BOB STUMP- Chairman GARY PIERCE BRENDA BURNS BOB BURNS SUSAN BITTER SMITH 2013 JUL 18 A 8: 49

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

6

IN THE MATTER OF:

7

THOMAS LAURENCE HAMPTON, CRD #2470192, and STEPHANIE YAGER, husband and wife;

9 10

TIMOTHY D. MORAN, CRD #2326078, and PATRICIA MORAN, husband and wife;

11

PATRICK MORAN, CRD #1496354, and KELLY MORAN, husband and wife; and

12 13

HAMPTON CAPITAL MARKETS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company,

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

RESPONDENTS.

DOCKET NO. S-20823A-11-0407

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JUL 1 8 2013

DOCKETED BY

THIRTEENTH
PROCEDURAL ORDER
(Schedules Status Conference)

BY THE COMMISSION:

On November 10, 2011, the Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") filed a Temporary Order to Cease and Desist ("T.O.") and a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing ("Notice") against Thomas Laurence Hampton and Jane Doe Hampton, husband and wife, and Hampton Capital Markets, L.L.C. ("HCM"), an Arizona limited liability company, (collectively "Respondents") in which the Division alleged multiple violations of the Arizona Securities Act ("Act") in connection with the offer and sale of securities in the form of stock or investment contracts.

The spouse ("Respondent Spouse") of Respondent Thomas Hampton was joined in the action pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2031(C) solely for the purpose of determining the liability of the marital community.

The Respondents were duly served with copies of the T.O and Notice.

On November 30, 2011, a request for hearing was filed on behalf of all Respondents who had been named in the November 10, 2011, T.O. and Notice.

1

On December 6, 2011, by Procedural Order, a pre-hearing conference was scheduled on January 5, 2012. Subsequently, the Division filed a Motion to File Amended T.O. and Notice in order to state the correct name of Mr. Hampton's spouse.

On December 12, 2011, the Division and Respondent filed a Joint Stipulation regarding the Amended T.O. and Notice. Respondents had no objections to the filing of the Amended T.O. and Notice to correct the name of Mr. Hampton's spouse (Stephanie Yager) and the parties stipulated that Respondents' initial request for hearing filed November 30, 2011, would be applicable as to the Amended T.O. and Notice. Additionally, the parties stipulated that Respondents' would have at least 30 days to file an Answer from the date of an Order which authorizes the filing of the Amended T.O. and Notice.

On December 15, 2011, the Division was granted leave to file an Amended T.O. and Notice as stipulated by the parties.

On January 5, 2012, at the pre-hearing conference, the Division and Respondents, Thomas Hampton and HCM appeared through counsel. Counsel for Mr. Hampton and HCM indicated that he did not represent Mr. Hampton's spouse, Stephanie Yager, and that Mr. Hampton's spouse would be represented by separate counsel in the proceeding. The Division and Mr. Hampton and HCM were conducting settlement discussions, but the Division indicated that it intended to further amend the T.O. and Notice. In the interim, the Division requested that a status conference be scheduled in approximately 90 days.

On January 6, 2012, by Procedural Order, a status conference was scheduled on April 26, 2012.

On February 6, 2012, counsel for Respondents, Thomas Hampton and HCM, filed a Motion to Withdraw as counsel stating that he and his clients had a conflict which rendered his representation "untenable," and that to disclose the reason would violate the attorney client privilege.

On February 9, 2012, by Procedural Order, counsel for Respondents, Thomas Hampton and HCM, was granted leave to withdraw.

On April 24, 2012, the Division and Respondents filed a Joint Stipulation to Continue the status conference scheduled on April 26, 2012, for at least 60 days because Mr. Hampton was currently traveling outside of Arizona, and because the Division intended to file an Amended T.O. and Notice.

On April 25, 2012, by Procedural Order, the status conference was continued to July 10, 2012.

On June 27, 2012, the Division filed a Motion to Amend the caption and Notice in the proceeding, and requested that the status conference scheduled on July 10, 2012, be vacated. The Division included a copy of the Amended Notice as Exhibit "A".

On July 6, 2012, the Division and Respondents, Mr. Hampton and HCM, filed a Joint Stipulation to amend the caption and the Notice in this proceeding and to vacate the status conference. Respondent Stephanie Yager had not yet signed the Joint Stipulation.

On July 9, 2012, by Procedural Order, leave to amend the caption and the Notice was granted and the status conference was vacated.

On July 12, 2012, the Division filed the Amended Notice naming additional Respondents and adding more allegations to the Notice. Additionally, the respective spouses were joined in the action pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2031(C) solely for the purpose of determining the liability of the respective marital communities.

The additional Respondents were duly served with copies of the Amended Notice.

On August 6, 2012, Respondents Timothy D. Moran and Patricia Moran filed a request for hearing.

On August 7, 2012, Respondents Patrick Moran and Kelly Moran filed a request for hearing.

On August 8, 2012, by Procedural Order, a pre-hearing conference was scheduled on August 21, 2012.

On August 20, 2012, Respondents Timothy and Patricia Moran filed a Motion to Stay Proceedings based on what was termed "a reasonable fear of criminal prosecution" and requested protection for Mr. Timothy Moran's constitutional rights under both the United States and Arizona Constitutions.

 On August 21, 2012, at the pre-hearing conference, the Division, Respondents Timothy Moran and Patricia Moran and Respondents Patrick Moran and Kelly Moran were represented by counsel. No appearances were made by Respondents Thomas Hampton, Stephanie Yager or HCM or anyone on their behalf. Based on the August 20, 2012, motion filed by Timothy and Patricia Moran to stay the proceeding, the parties who were present agreed that the pre-hearing conference should be continued for at least 60 days. Counsel for the Division further indicated that the Division had not yet had time to thoroughly review the pending Motion to Stay.

On August 22, 2012, by Procedural Order, another pre-hearing conference was scheduled on November 8, 2012. It was also noted that although a request for a hearing was filed pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1972 and A.A.C. R14-4-306 for Respondent Stephanie Yager neither she nor an attorney on her behalf had entered an appearance in subsequent proceedings. Additionally, Respondents Patrick and Kelly Moran filed joinder in Respondents Timothy and Patricia Moran's Motion to Stay.

On August 24, 2012, Respondents Timothy and Patricia Moran filed an Answer and Motion to Dismiss the Amended Notice. A key argument in support of the motion filed by Timothy and Patricia Moran was the extremely vague nature of the allegations against Mr. Timothy Moran in the Amended Notice.

On September 6, 2012, the Division filed its response to the Motion to Stay filed by Timothy and Patricia Moran and the joinder of Patrick and Kelly Moran to the aforementioned motion. The Division argued that the Motion to Stay should be denied because "there is no substantial prejudice to any Respondent or their respective spouses by proceeding forward." The Division argued this point despite the fact that an adverse order against the Moran Respondents could possibly subject them to a substantial order of restitution and administrative penalties. The Division further did not take into account the credible affidavits of two well-respected Arizona attorneys who both agreed that Respondent Timothy Moran is the subject of a United States Department of Justice federal criminal investigation and that he faces a realistic threat of criminal prosecution.

On September 17, 2012, the Division filed its response to the Motion to Dismiss filed by Respondents Timothy and Patricia Moran. In its response the Division argued that the Amended Notice is sufficient to put Respondent Timothy Moran on notice of his alleged violations of the Act

and that the requirements of the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C.") and the rules of the Commission had been met. As a result, the Division argued that the Motion to Dismiss should be denied. However, in concluding its response, the Division acknowledged that the Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") could order the Division to further amend the Amended Notice to add additional allegations, if he determined the current allegations should be amended or supplemented.

On September 18, 2012, Respondents Timothy and Patricia Moran filed their reply in support of their Motion to Stay in which it was argued that the test was whether there is a realistic threat of criminal prosecution and not whether there is a pending criminal prosecution or whether a substantial prejudice will result from the proceeding going forward.

On September 28, 2012, Respondents Timothy and Patricia Moran filed their reply in support of their Motion to Dismiss in which it was argued that the Commission's rule A.A.C. R14-3-106(L) and also A.R.S. § 41-1061(B)(4) both required the Division to state more succinctly in its Amended Notice allegations which stated in greater detail the charges against Mr. Moran to enable him to present a defense.

On November 2, 2012, by Procedural Order, Respondents Timothy and Patricia Moran's Motion to Stay, which was joined by Respondents Patrick and Kelly Moran, was granted and the prehearing conference scheduled on November 8, 2012, was vacated. The Motion to Dismiss was taken under advisement.

On February 22, 2013, a Motion to Withdraw was filed by counsel for Respondents Timothy D. Moran and Patricia Moran stating that his clients had failed to fulfill their financial obligations which were owed for legal services despite warnings that counsel would withdraw "if his bills were not made current." In support of his Motion to Withdraw, counsel cited Rule 1.16 of the Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct where the rule is set forth. Counsel served a copy of his Motion to Withdraw upon his clients and certified that his clients had been notified in writing of the status of the case including pending matters related to the proceeding.

No objections were filed to the Motion to Withdraw filed by counsel for Respondents Timothy D. Moran and Patricia Moran.

On March 4, 2013, by Procedural Order, the Motion to Withdraw was granted.

 On May 3, 2013, the Division filed a Motion to Set a Status Conference and Order Lifting the Stay stating that criminal action would soon be concluded against Respondent Thomas Hampton since he had recently consented to a plea agreement, and there were no criminal indictments against the Moran Respondents.

There were no objections filed to the Division's Motion to schedule a status conference and to issue an Order to lift the stay.

On May 21, 2013, by Procedural Order, a status conference was scheduled on June 12, 2013, to examine the present circumstances and whether the stay should be lifted.

On June 5, 2013, counsel for Patrick and Kelly Moran filed a Motion for a Continuance of the status conference because he was going out of the state on June 12, 2013, and unable to attend. The Division did not oppose this request.

On June 10, 2013, by Procedural Order, the status conference was continued to July 16, 2013.

On July 16th 2013, at the status conference, the Division and Respondents, Patrick and Kelly Moran, appeared through counsel. There were no appearances entered by the other Respondents. The parties discussed whether the stay should be removed and what the current status of Respondent Hampton was with respect to the criminal proceeding. The Division's counsel indicated that Mr. Hampton is scheduled for sentencing in the criminal proceeding in the latter part of August, and it was agreed by counsel who were present that another status conference should be scheduled in September.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that a status conference shall held on <u>September 24, 2013</u> at <u>10:00 a.m.</u>, at the Commission's offices, <u>1200 West Washington Street</u>, <u>Hearing Room No. 2</u>, Phoenix, Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113-Unauthorized Communications) applies to this proceeding as the matter is now set for public hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal or representation must be made in compliance with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Rule 42 of the Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court). Representation before the Commission includes appearances at all hearings and procedural conferences, as well as all Open Meetings for which the matter is

scheduled for discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the 1 2 Administrative Law Judge or the Commission. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Rules 31 and 38 of the Rules 3 of the Arizona Supreme Court and A.R.S. § 40-243 with respect to practice of law and admission 4 5 pro hac vice. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Presiding Officer may rescind, alter, amend, or waive 6 any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing. 7 8 day of July, 2013. DATED this 9 10 11 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 12 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered this day of July, 2013 to: 13 14 Thomas Hampton ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC. HAMPTON ĈAPITAL MARKETS, LLC 2200 N. Central Ave., Suite 502 15 9026 East Calle De Las Brisas Phoenix, AZ 85004-1481 Scottsdale, AZ 85255 16 By: Stephanie Yager 17 9026 East Calle De Las Brisas Rebecca Unduera Assistant to Marc E. Stern Scottsdale, AZ 85255 18 Michael D. Curran 19 MAYNARD CRONIN ERICKSON CURRAN & REITER, P.L.C. 20 3200 North Central Avenue, Suite 1800 Phoenix, AZ 85012 21 Attorneys for Respondents Patrick and Kelly Moran 22 Timothy and Patricia Moran 23 4545 E. Joshua Tree Lane Scottsdale, AZ 85253 24 Matt Neubert, Director 25 Securities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 26 1300 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 27

28